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Scope of the study

• Mobility

• Relative position of CS on labor market

• All these in the light of economic crisis and its impact on civil service general and the above specifically
Method of the research

• Web-based questionnaire

• Mostly closed questions (easier to fill in and process, but cannot reflect to country-specificity)

• Open-ended questions also and opportunity for sending related information

• Expert judgments
  – The problem of subjectivity
  – The problem of generality

• Treating individual countries
Analysing and presenting answers

• **Three levels of analysis**
  – General level: general picture, overall trends
  – Specific level: differences - groups of countries (mostly: career and position systems and CEE countries)
  – Individual level: the level of individual countries

• **Method of analysis applied:**
  – Statistical data processing of closed survey questions (General and specific level)
  – Analysis of open ended questions (mostly: individual country level)
  – Reliance on previous EUPAN studies and other research projects (all levels)
  – Desk-study specifically carried out for this research (mostly: individual level)
General level: The overall impact of the crisis

• Difference between short and long term impact
• Several ways of impact, several causal paths
• Difficult to measure the impact of each and any of these paths
• More difficult to assess the overall impact
• Various countries are impacted differently (see later)
A hypothetic causal relation: crisis → civil service

- Less jobs, worse conditions in business
- More interest in public employment
- Government budgets
- Personnel budgets shrink
- Downsizing and worsened conditions.
- Value of CS work conditions (job security, etc.) boosts.
- Work conditions may be harmed
- Attractiveness grows more than conditions worsen: Overall better valuation
- The myth of perfectly functioning market and „business superiority” may be over
- Serving the public may be more a value again
- Civil service may become emotionally-morally more attractive
**Budget cuts and its results – the most immediate and detectable impact.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget cuts</th>
<th>AT; CZ (10%); CY; EE; ES; FR; IE; IS; HU; DK; FI; IT; LT (10%); LV; NL; PL; PT; SLO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reduction of personnel</strong></td>
<td>AT; CZ (10%); EE; ES; FI; FR (only 50% of retiring central Government employees being replaced; HU; LT (10%); LV; NL; PL (10% reduction scheduled not implemented yet); SLO (1%);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reduction of salaries:</strong></td>
<td>CZ (0-10%); EE; ES (5% on average)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HU; IE (7%&amp;5-10%); IS; LT (~28%); LV; PT (from 2011 variable %); RO (25%); SLO (for public sector management posts and for elected political posts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other measures:</strong></td>
<td>➢ Freeze on hiring (CY; IT; PI; PT),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Restrictions on new employment (EE; LT; PT; SLO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Freeze on promotions (ES - through restrictions in mobility; LT; PT; RO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Freeze on wages (ES - after the abovementioned reduction; IT; LT; NL; PL; PT - 2010; SK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Etc. .....</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overall impact

- **In short-run**: rather *negative* mostly for budget cuts
  - For the present public service personnel: potential dismissals and worsening work conditions (most importantly job security)
  - For the future personnel: no new hiring, the availability of qualified employees is not exploited for public purposes

- **In long-run**: could be *positive*, if the evaluation of “serving the public”* as an organizational function and as a profession gets more highly evaluated again, by the taxpayers, the political decision-makers (on budget) and among potential employees

*Or is this just wishful thinking?*
Differences among countries

• Reasons for differences:
  – Size of the crisis
  – Attributes of public administration generally
  – Civil service system – controlled only in the research

• Characteristic differences
  – Position system – were „hit” the least
  – Career system – most diverse „stories”
  – CEE countries most „harsh” measures
Suggestions for EUPAN HRWG

• Large-scale, comparative representative (!) survey among civil servants of EU member (and perhaps) accession countries

• An opportunity to store data of previous data collections, EUPAN researches, allowing further research on the data
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